### ➋

### **EUROPEAN PATENT APPLICATION**

(2) Application number: 89304766.2

Date of filing: 10.05.89

(5) Int. Cl.4: C 08 K 9/06 .

C 09 C 1/30, C 08 L 83/04

Priority: 13.05.88 US 193626

Date of publication of application: 15.11.89 Bulletin 89/48

**Designated Contracting States:** BE DE ES FR QB IT NL

Applicant: DOW CORNING CORPORATION 3901 S. Saginaw Road Midland Michigan 48686-0994 (US)

nventor: Collins, Warde Thomas 806 Smith Road Midland Michigan (US)

> Cottrell, Kenneth Ray 1919 Laurell Lane Midland Michigan (US)

> Saam, John Carlton 2320 Cranbrook Midiand Michigan (US)

(4) Representative: Lewin, John Harvey et al **ELKINGTON AND FIFE Beacon House 113 Kingsway** London WC2B 6PP (GB)

Minproved method of producing treated silics filler for silicone rubber.

An improved method of producing treated silica filler for use with silicone rubber operates at ambient temperature in a short period of time. The method consists essentially of mixing reinforcing silica with a volatile treating agent of the formula  $R_xS$ -(OR')<sub>4-x</sub>, wherein R is a substituted or unsubstituted, saturated or unsaturated, alkyl or anyl radical having from 1 to 6 carbon atoms, R' is an alkyl radical having from 1 to 3 carbon atoms, x is 1 or 2 and R and R' are chosen so that the vapor pressure of the alkoxysilane exceeds 0.005 atmosphere at treatment temperature, in combination with a volatile catalyst selected from the group consisting of acid A, base B and mixtures thereof; wherein acid A is a volatile acid or acid progenitor and base B is a silylamine or silazane, acid A and base B being chosen so that the vapor pressure of either acid A or base B exceeds 0.005 atmosphere at treatment temperature, for a period of time sufficient to allow the desired amount of treating agent to be adsorbed onto the surface of the particulate filler.

#### Description

5

10

20

30

35

50

55

# IMPROVED METHOD OF PRODUCING TREATED SILICA FILLER FOR SILICONE RUBBER

This invention relates to a method of producing treated silica filler for silicone rubber and to the filler so

A rapid and economical method of producing treated, reinforcing silica, for use in silicone rubber, consists produced. essentially of admixing the filler with a volatile treating agent of the formula RSi(OR')3 or R2Si (OR')2 in the presence of catalytic amounts of volatile acid or base promoters, the base promoters consisting of silylamines or silazanes or combinations of acid and base promoters.

It is an object of this invention to produce treated, reinforcing silica, at moderate temperature in the absence of solvent, without long contact times and without the necessity of preheating or drying the silica.

It is an object of this invention to produce treated, reinforcing silica which requires a minimum of energy in order to disperse it rapidly into polydiorganosiloxane gum.

It is an object of this invention to produce a reinforcing silica having a modified surface so that crepeing is diminished when the treated filler is dispersed in a silicone polymer, yet providing improved handling properties prior to cure and improved mechanical properties after cure and after heat aging.

This invention relates to a rapid method of treating the surface of reinforcing silica filler, said method consisting essentially of mixing, at a temperature of from about - 10 to 130°C., (A) 100 parts by weight of reinforcing silica filler having a surface area of greater than 50 m<sup>2</sup>/g and having from 0.5 to 6 parts by weight of absorbed moisture, (B) from 3 to 30 parts by weight of a volatile treating agent of the formula R<sub>x</sub>Si(OR')<sub>4-x</sub>, wherein R is a substituted or unsubstituted, saturated or unsaturated, alkyl or aryl radical having from 1 to 6 carbon atoms, R' is an alkyl radical having from 1 to 3 carbon atoms, x is 1 or 2 and R and R' are chosen so that the vapor presure of the alkoxysilane exceeds 0.005 atmosphere at treatment temperature, (C) from 0.001 to 5.0 parts by weight of a volatile catalyst selected from the group consisting of acid A, base B and mixtures thereof, wherein acid A is a volatile acid or acid progenitor and base B is a silylamine or silazane, acid A and base B being chosen so that the vapor pressure of either acid A or base B exceeds 0.005 atmosphere at treatment temperature, for a period of time sufficient to allow the desired amount of treating agent to be adsorbed onto the surface of the particulate filler, to yield a filler which requires a minimum of energy to disperse rapidly into polydiorganosiloxane and which gives improved properties to cured silicone elastomers.

Silicone elastomers consist essentially of polydiorganosiloxane reinforced with filler. The polydiorganosiloxane ordinarily contains a small amount of hydroxyl radical left from the method of manufacture. The polydiorganosiloxane is transformed from a fluid or gum into an elastomer by crosslinking, either through the addition of a crosslinking agent or through the formation of crosslinks between the radicals present on the polymer chain, both in the presence of a catalyst. The elastomer formed is very weak mechanically when compared to the common organic elastomeric materials. The silicone elastomers are commonly improved by the addition of reinforcing and extending fillers to modify their physical properties. A well known method of improving the physical strength of silicone elastomers is through the addition of reinforcing silica fillers. In order to act as reinforcing agents, the particles of filler need to be very small, the most common method of designating the particle size is by stating the surface area of the particles, stated as square meters of surface per gram of filler. The useful lower limit of reinforcing filler for polydiorganosiloxane is commonly agreed to be about 50 m<sup>2</sup>/g. The most common filler for silicone elastomer is silica, both fumed silica and precipitated silica, with fumed silica preferred as it normally has a lower moisture content.

When these finely divided reinforcing fillers are mixed into polydiorganosiloxane, there is a reaction between the two which results in a thickening of the mixture. In the case of polymers of gum viscosity, the thickening can result in a mixture which is difficult to further process. This process, known as crepeing, has been prevented to a lesser or greater degree through the use of process aids added at the time of the addition of the filler to the polymer. The filler has also been treated before use, usually with a silane, in an attempt to prevent the crepeing reaction. The method of this invention results in a treated filler which is very easy to mix into the polymer and yields a mixture which has a reduced tendency to crepe.

The reinforcing silica filler (A) used in the method of this invention is a well known, commercial material. The method of producing the filler is not critical, both precipitated and fumed fillers are suitable. The preferred filler is fumed silica, since it is readily available in commercial quantities.

These finely divided fillers absorb water on their surface if they are exposed to the air. For example, a fumed silica stored at room conditions of 23°C. and 50% relative humidity, having a surface area of about 250 m<sup>2</sup>/g, will contain about 2 percent of moisture on its surface. The method of this invention does not require that the surface of the filler be without moisture or that the amount of moisture be at an exact level, as long as some moisture is present to hydrolyze the treating agent. A practical lower limit is about 0.5 percent by weight, while a practical upper limit is about 6 percent by weight. When more than about 6 percent moisture is present, at least in the case of fumed silica, the filler tends to form clumps and is more difficult to disperse.

The volatile treating agent used in the method of this invention is of the formula R<sub>x</sub>Si (OR')<sub>4-x</sub>, wherein R is a substituted or unsubstituted, saturated or unsaturated, alkyl or aryl radical having from 1 to 6 carbon atoms, R' is an alkyl radical having from 1 to 3 carbon atoms, x is 1 or 2 and R and R' are chosen so that the vapor pressure of the alkoxysilane exceeds 0.005 atmosphere at treatment temperature. R represents radicals such as methyl (Me), ethyl (Et), propyl, hexyl, vinyl, phenyl and 3,3,3-trifluoropropyl. R' represents methyl, ethyl and propyl radicals. Preferred treating agents are MeSi(OMe)<sub>3</sub> (methyltrimethoxysilane) and Me<sub>2</sub>Si(OMe)<sub>2</sub> (dimethyldimethoxysilane). Mixtures of treating agents can also be used. The preferred treating agents can be used at room temperature since their vapor pressures are 0.035 and 0.064 atmosphere at 20°C. respectively for MeSi(OMe)<sub>3</sub> (methyltrimethoxysilane) and Me<sub>2</sub>Si(OMe)<sub>2</sub> (dimethyldimethoxysilane).

5

10

15

20

30

55

65

The amount of treating agent used can vary from 3 to 30 parts by weight, based upon 100 parts by weight of the filler. For fumed silica as the filler, a preferred amount is from 5 to 20 parts by weight. The optimum amount of treating agent used is determined as follows. The selected filler is treated with a range of amounts of treating agent, as well as a range of catalyst amounts. The treated filler samples are then used to prepare samples of the desired final product and the properties of the final product are evaluated. A comparison of the resulting properties indicates the optimum level of treating agent and catalyst to use in that particular instance. The examples of this application show such procedures. Filler treated according to this invention shows lower power requirements for mixing filler into high viscosity polymer, lower mill softening times, a less tacky compound, lower Williams Plasticity Number and Recovery and improved properties of a cured silicone rubber when the filler is used in a curable composition. In the case of high consistency silicone rubber stocks, an additional benefit is seen in the fact that a given durometer cured rubber can be produced with significantly lower fumed silica content, resulting in a more economical product than can be produced when using untreated filler.

The treatment of the reinforcing silica filler surface is catalyzed by from 0.001 to 5.0 parts by weight of a volatile catalyst, based upon 100 parts by weight of reinforcing silica filler. A preferred amount is from 0.001 to 2 parts. The volatile catalyst is selected from the group consisting of acid A, base B and mixtures thereof; wherein acid A is a volatile acid or acid progenitor and base B is a silylamine or silazane, acid A and base B being chosen so that the vapor pressure of either acid A or base B exceeds 0.005 atmosphere at treatment temperature. A volatile acid or base is defined as that having the required vapor pressure. The acid A can be any acid or acid progenitor having the required volatility, preferred are catalysts selected from the group consisting of hydrogen chloride (HCI), hydrogen bromide (HBr), methyltrichlorosilane

(MeSiCl<sub>3</sub>) and dimethyldichlorosilane (Me<sub>2</sub>SiCl<sub>2</sub>). An acid progenitor is defined as a material that gives an acid when it contacts water or water vapor. Most preferred are methyltrichlorosilane and dimethyldichlorosilane. The base B can be a silylamine or silazane selected from the group consisting of (R"<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>NR, (R"<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>NH, R"<sub>3</sub>SiNH"<sub>2</sub> and R"<sub>3</sub>SiNHR", where R" is the same as R' and is an alkyl radical having from 1 to 3 carbon atoms. Most preferred is hexamethyldisilazane (Me<sub>3</sub>Si)<sub>2</sub>NH. Mixtures of catalysts can also be used. The most preferred catalysts can be used at room temperature because their vapor pressures at 20°C. are 0.18 atmosphere for methyltrichlorosilane, 0.15 atmosphere for dimethyldichlorosilane, 0.25 atmosphere for trimethylchlorosilane and 0.026 atmosphere for hexamethyldisilazane.

The acid (A) and base (B) are well known materials as to the means of manufacture. The base (B) silazanes can also be produced by an in situ process where, for example, a chlorosilane, such as dimethyldichlorosilane, and an amine, such as ammonia, are added to the filler and allowed to react to give a silazane.

The method of this invention makes use of a gaseous treatment of the particulate filler. The treating agent and the catalyst are both of sufficient volatility at the treatment temperature to create an atmosphere in the treating chamber which contains sufficient treating agent and catalyst to treat the filler surface. The preferred method of treatment stirs the filler in a container whose contents can be agitated and purged with an inert gas. The effect can be obtained by circulating a gas through the bed at a sufficient rate to fluidize the filler or through rapid stirring or tumbling. The treating agent and catalyst are added to the container so that they are vaporized and deposited upon the filler surface. The temperature at which the treatment takes place is determined by the treating agent and catalyst used. When methyltrimethoxysilane and/or dimethyldimethoxysilane are used as treating agent with methyltrichlorosilane, dimethyldichlorosilane and/or hexamethyldisilazane used as catalyst, the treatment occurs very successfully at room temperature, defined herein as 10°C. to 30°C., in a period of time from about 3 to 10 minutes. If a higher bolling treating agent, such as diphenyldimethoxysilane, is used, the treatment temperature must be raised so that the concentration of treating agent in the fluidized bed is sufficient to adequately treat the filler. An upper temperature of 130°C. is sufficient when using the treating agents and catalyst specified in this invention.

The treating agent and catalyst can be added to the mixing chamber in any order, but the preferred order is first adding the filler and fluidizing it, then adding the catalyst to give an activated filler surface, then adding the treating agent.

It is not necessary to further process the treated filler after the method of this invention to remove excess reactants, because only an amount of the catalyst and treating agent are added to the mixture at the time of the treatment process to give the desired properties. The small amounts of treating agent and catalyst used and the short time of treatment gives a treated filler with a minimum cost.

The usefulness and uniqueness of the filler resulting from the method of this invention can be shown by adding it to polydiorganosiloxane and comparing the product resulting (herein referred to as a base) with a similar product produced with untreated filler or filler not treated in accordance with this invention. The simplest comparison is done by mixing 30 parts by weight of filler into a polydimethylsiloxane having a viscosity of about 25,000 Pa•s (Williams Plasticity Number of about 150) and measuring the Williams Plasticity Number initially and over a period of time. A description of this plasticity test is found in ASTM D-926. Briefly, a piece of base weighing approximately 2 times the specific gravity is rolled into a ball and allowed to sit for 1 hour. It is then placed between two parallel plates in a Plastometer which applies a load to the sample, causing it to flow.

After 3 minutes time, the thickness of the sample is measured and the load removed. After 1 minute, the thickness of the sample is remeasured. The Williams Plasticity Number is the thickness of the sample after the 3 minute load period in millimeters times 100. The Recovery is the final Williams Plasticity Number minus the original Williams Plasticity Number. The Williams Plasticity Number is a measure of how stiff the material is; the higher the number, the stiffer the mixture. If the measurement is made at various times over a period of time after the original mixing of the gum and filler, it gives an indication of how the filler and gum are interacting to produce a crepe. The recovery gives an indication of how resilient the mixture is. A low number means the material is more like a fluid, while a higher number means the material is becoming like an elastomer.

Another common method of evaluating the usefulness of a filler treatment is measuring the softening time of the mixture on a two roll mill. A given amount of material is placed upon a two roll mill and the time necessary for the material to soften to the point where it wraps around the faster roll is measured. When the roll speed, nip opening and sample size are similar, it gives a useful comparison between bases. The faster the base softens, the less a crepe has formed. Again, running this test repeatedly over a period of time can be used to indicate how much a base crepes and how fast the reaction is occurring.

A more revealing method of evaluating the effects of filler treatment can be performed by using a Haake Rheochord® system. This is essentially a laboratory size sigma blade mixer that is instrumented so that the torque required to turn the mixer blade is measured and recorded. A graph of the torque versus time can be generated. By using a standardized procedure, comparisons between fillers as to their effect upon the base can be measured. The graph shows how much energy is required to mix the filler into the polymer and how much thickening of the base takes place during the mixing operation. The higher the final torque, the higher the viscosity of the base that has been produced. The easier and quicker the filler disperses in the polymer, the less energy is required for this manufacturing step and the lower the cost of production.

The usefulness of the treated filler produced by the method of this invention and comparisons to other treated and untreated fillers is best demonstrated by a series of examples. The following examples are used to show the uniqueness of the treated filler of this invention. The discussion of the comparisons is found in the

The following examples are included for illustrative purposes only and should not be construed as limiting discussion of the examples and the results obtained. the invention which is properly set forth in the appended claims.

#### Example 1

30

55

This example illustrates the effectiveness of the type of alkoxysilane used to treat fume silica.

First 125 g of fumed silica with a surface area of 255 m<sup>2</sup>/g and a moisture content of 2.2 percent (established by measuring weight loss on heating for 1 hour at 105°C.) was placed in a one gailon stainless steel Waring Blender and agitated at a slow speed through the use of a Variac in the line to slow the motor. The stirrer was operated at a speed just sufficient to fluidize the silica in the blender. The blender has a cover which allows an inert gas purge of the space over the filler. Since the treating agents are flammable, it is necessary to maintain an atmosphere in the blender which is low in oxygen. Nitrogen was passed through the blender and exhausted into a bubbler so that the rate could be observed and adjusted. This is followed by the addition of 2.5 g hexamethyldisilazane and mixing for 3 minutes. Then 12.5 g of Si(OEt)4 was added and mixed for 3 additional minutes, at which time the mixer was turned off. Similiar samples were prepared using the same amount of MeSi(OMe)<sub>3</sub>, Me<sub>2</sub>Si(OMe)<sub>2</sub> or Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe in place of the Si(OEt)<sub>4</sub>.

The treated filler was evaluated as a reinforcing filler by compounding 30 parts of treated filler into 100 parts of polydiorganosiloxane gum. The gum contained about 0.14 mol percent vinyl radicals and the rest methyl radicals with dimethylvinylsiloxy endblockers. It had a William's Plasticity Number of 152. The compounding was done in a Haake Rheochord System 40 sigma blade mixer at a blade speed of 35 rpm. The filler was added in 8 equal increments, each being added every two minutes. A curve of the torque required by the mixer versus time was recorded to show the power required to mix the treated filler into the gum.

The softening time of each base was measured by recording the time in seconds for the base to transfer from the slow roll to the fast roll on an 8 inch two roll mill. This test is an indication of polymer/filler interaction and is a qualitative measure of how well the filler is treated. The comparative results are shown in Table I.

The Williams Plasticity of each compound was measured when compounded and over time in accordance with ASTM D926, with the results shown in Table I. The torque curves and plasticity data indicate that these fillers could be used in compounding without using the plasticizers commonly added during compounding of silicone rubber in order to prevent creping of the base during storage.

Each base was evaluated as a silicone rubber stock by mixing 100 parts of each compound with 0.7 parts of 2,5-bis(tert-butylperoxy)-2,5-dimethylhexane peroxide (Lupersol 101). The catalyzed stock was pressed into a sheet and vulcanized for 10 minutes at 171°C. followed by an oven cure of 4 hours at 200°C. Each base was also evaluated as a silicone rubber stock by mixing 100 parts of each compound with 1.3 parts of a paste containing 50 percent of 2,4-dichlorobenzoyl peroxide (Cadox TS-50). The catalyzed stock was pressed into a sheet and vulcanized for 5 minutes at 116°C. followed by an oven cure of 4 hours at 200°C. The cured silicone elastomers were evaluated for physical properties by measuring in accordance with ASTM procedures. The

The treated silica was evaluated as a reinforcing filler in the manner described above. A comparison of the results are shown in Table I. results in Table I shows Me<sub>2</sub>Si(OMe)<sub>2</sub> to be the most efficient treating agent, followed by MeSi(OMe)<sub>3</sub>, then Me<sub>3</sub>SiOMe, with Si(OEt)<sub>4</sub> being the least effective. A higher level of filler treatment corresponds with the base having a lower final Haake torque, shorter mill softening time and lower plasticity and recovery. It also can be seen in a lower Shore A durometer, a higher elongation and lower modulus. 

Si(OEt).	Crumbled 274	607 676 759	43 191 237	58 7.18 333 3.38	46.3 70.5	55 -3 5.66 -21 -2
Me <sub>3</sub> SiOMe	3318 137	429 493 554	28 94 168	54 7.39 348 3.1	36.1 46.9	50 -4 5.08 -31 269 -23
Table I MeSi(OMe)3	3035 62	345 422 447	18 51 112	52 8.28 370 3.2	44 77.5	51 -1 5.82 -30 293 -21
(eWO)	Messi(Ome)2 2372	213 269	289 15 30	66 42 8.78 447	30.2 62.6	39 -3 4.66 -46.9 266 -40.49
	Treating Agent: Property:	Mill Softening Time, seconds Williams Plasticity Number Initial	After 1 month Williams Plasticity, Recovery Initial	After 1 month After 1 month Property after Cure Durometer,Shore A Tensile Strength,mPa	200 % Modulus,mPa Compression Set,percent 0.7 pph Lupersol 101	Property after Heat Age, 70 hr/225°C.  Durometer, Shore A Durometer Change Tensile Strength, mPa Tensile % Change Elongation, percent Elongation % change

Example 2

This example shows small amounts of hexamethyldisilazane catalyze the treatment of fumed silica with methyltrimethoxysilane. It also shows the same level of silazane alone is ineffective in sufficiently treating the silica so that it can be used as a reinforcing filler.

A series of treated fumed silicas were prepared by fluidizing 125 g of fume silica of Example 1, then adding the amount of hexamethyldisilazane shown in Table II and mixing for 3 minutes. Then the amount of methyltrimethoxysilane shown in Table II was added and mixing continued for 3 minutes, at which time the mixer was turned off. The first 4 runs show the effect of increasing amounts of hexamethyldisilazane catalyst. Run 5 is a comparative example using only hexamethyldisilazane. Runs 6 through 9 are the same ratio of catalyst to treating agent, increasing the amounts used.

Each of the treated silicas from the above runs were evaluated as a reinforcing filler by compounding the treated filler at a loading of 30 parts per 100 parts of the gum described in Example 1. The evaluation was done in the manner as described in the same example.

A comparison of the torque data from bases compounded from runs 1-5 is included along with the mill softening time, Williams Plasticity and cured elastomer properties in Table II. Comparison of the torque data shows hexamethyldisilazane used by itself at 5 g per 125 g fume silica did not treat the filler sufficiently to be useful as a reinforcing filler, as the base crumbled in the mixer during compounding. A comparison of runs 1-4 with the comparative run using only methyltrimethoxysilane shows hexamethyldisilazane catalyzes the filler treatment as can be seen by the lower torque. There was a decrease in the torque (less energy used) which corresponds with an increase in the level of hexamethyldisilazane. A similar trend is seen in the comparison of bases compounded with the treated filler from runs 6-9. In this case the amount of hexamethyldisilazane and methyltrimethoxysilane was increased, but the ratio of catalyst to treating agent was kept constant. The same trend in the shorter mill softening times and lower plasticity and recovery can be seen. The recovery is the amount the sample recovers after it has been under stress in the Williams Plastometer for the 3 minute test time and allowed to set without stress for one minute. A lower durometer with a higher elongation and lower modulus also corresponds with a higher level of catalyst or both catalyst and treating agent, as is the case for runs 6-9. This data indicates the treatment improves with an increase in catalyst or catalyst and treating agent.

Table II

<b>5</b>	40	Crumbled >180	505 538 574	36 127 254	53.7 25.8 3.8
<b>*</b> †	20	2474 15	282 325 338	10 36 71	51 4.8 276 3
က	1.2	2285 0	201 236 254	10 15 46	47 7.3 369 2.7
2	2.6	2218 0	165 208 221	133 33	43 6.8 389 2.3
- <del>-</del> -	я 2	2064	175 203 236	10 10 36	42 6.5 379 2.2
	Run Number Hexamethyldisilazane, g/100g silica Mathyltrimethoxysilane, g/100g silica	Property: Haake Final Torque, mg	Mill Softening Time, seconds Williams Plasticity Number Initial After 1 week	Williams Plasticity, Recovery Initial After 1 week After 1 month	Property after cure Durometer, Shore A Tensile Strength, mPa Elongation, percent 200 % Modulus, mPa comparative example

Table II Cont.

Run Number	9	7	æ	6
Hexamethyldisilazane, g/100g silica Methyltrimethoxysilane, g/100g silica	H 20	2 10	15	20
	Crumbled >180	2791 35	2208 0	2285 0
Williams Plasticity Number Initial After 1 week After 1 month	635 624 645	302 356 378	190 239 259	165 196 216
Williams Plasticity, Recovery Initial After 1 week After 1 month	48 84 104	15 41 51	8 18 23	8 8 10
Property after cure Durometer, Shore A Tensile Strength, mPa Elongation, percent 200 % Modulus, mPa	58 5.6 261 3.9	48 6 303 3.1	44 5.7 349 2.3	42 6.1 396 2.1
Property after Heat Age, 70 hr/225°C. Durometer, Shore A Durometer Change Tensile Strength, mPa Tensile % Change Elongation, percent Elongation % Change	53 -6 -25.2 268	47 -1 4.1 -31.7 252 -17.1	48 4 4.2 -25.8 201 -42.4	44 2 2 - 32 2 12 - 47

5

This example illustrates the prior art use of ammonia as a catalyst to promote filler treatment with Comparative Example

First 125 g of fumed silica with a surface area of 255 m<sup>2</sup>/g and a moisture content of 2,2 percent (established methyltrimethoxysilane. by measuring weight loss on heating for 1 hour at 105°C.) was placed in a one gallon stainless steel Waring Blender and agitated at a slow speed through the use of a Variac in the line to slow the motor. The stirrer was operated at a speed just sufficient to fluidize the silica in the blender. Then 0.2 g of NH3 was added from a lecture bottle through a calibrated flow meter. The NH3 was allowed to mix with the silica for 3 minutes, then 25 g of methyltrimethoxysilane was injected into the fluidized silica. The fluidization was continued for 3 minutes,

A second run was prepared using the same method, but the amount of ammonia was doubled. The then the blender was turned off. comparative compostions were evaluated as in Example 1. The results show that these materials catalyzed with ammonia have inferior heat aging results when compared to the results shown in the previous and subsequent examples under this invention, particularly in the percent of tensile strength and percent of elongation lost when the elastomers are aged for 70 hours at 225°C.

65

55

	Table III	
=======================================	0.16	U.32
NH3, g per 100 g silica	8	R
Methyltrimethoxysllane, g		
Property:		2245
	2330	ĸ
	7	•
Mill Softening Time, seconds		
Williams Plasticity Number		62
	87	2 8
Initial	86	5 6
After 1 week	105	n n
After 1 month	}	
Williams Plasticity, Recovery		ď
	2	▼ (
Initial	ഹ	<b>†</b> 1
After 1 Week	8	
After 1 month		
Property after Cure		45
	49	י י
Durometer, Shore A	6.9	0.0
Tensile Strength,mPa	374	5/5
Elongation,percent	2.6	2.2
Property after Heat Age, 70 hr/225°C.		67
	53	
Durometer, Shore A	*	7 (
Durometer Change	3.78	
Tensile Strength, mPa	-45.2	1.10-
Tensile % Change	155	138
Elongation, percent	-58.6	ဂ် ဂ
Elongation % change		

Example 3

This example illustrates the use of methyltrichlorosilane as a catalyst to treat fume silica with an alkoxysilane. This example illustrates the use of methyltrichlorosilane as a catalyst to treat fume silica with an alkoxysilane. Then 12.5 g of First, 125 g of fumed silica of Example 1 was fluidized in a Waring Blender. Then 12.5 g of methyltrimethoxysilane is added and mixed for 3 minutes, at which time the mixer was turned off. A second run methyltrimethoxysilane is added and mixed for 3 minutes, at which time the mixer was turned off. A second run was made in the same manner using 25 g methyltrimethoxysilane. A third and fourth run were also done using this procedure. Again, the quantity of methyl-trimethoxysilane was 12.5 and 25 g respectively. The difference being that the methyltrimethoxysilane used in the third and fourth runs contained 0.25 g methyltrichlorosilane per 99.75 g methyltrimethoxysilane.

The treated silica was evaluated as a reinforcing filler as stated in Example 1. A comparison of the results, as shown in in Table IV shows an increase in methyltrimethoxysilane corresponds with a more efficient treatment on the filler. The basis for this statement is the same as stated in Example 2. Also, the addition of methyltrichlorosilane to the methyltrimethoxysilane improves the efficiency of the filler treatment for the same reasons.

•MeSi(OMe)3	8	2260 5	356	18 25 	51 6.22 329 2.97	58 7 7 4.45 -28.5 252 -23.4
Comparative MeSi(OMe)3	20	3019 60	366 439 460	13 38 61	55 6.52 312 3.47	49 8 4.03 -38 255 -18
Table IV *MeSI(OMe)₃	10	3697 155	587 691	38 104 1	59 5.78 277 3.77	56 -3 4.34 -24.9 255 -7.9
Comparative MeSI(OMe)3	00 g methyltrimethoxysilane 10	Crumbled 150	660 737 765	25 122 145	63 7.76 320 4.16	.56 -7 -32 -32 310 3
Treating Agent:	<ul> <li>contains 0.25 g MeSiCls per 100 g methyltrim</li> <li>Treating Agent,g:100g Silica</li> </ul>	Property: Haake Final Torque,mg Mill Softening Time,seconds	Williams Plasticity Number Initial After 1 week After 1 month	Williams Plasticity, Recovery Initial After 1 week After 1 month	Property after Cure  Durometer, Shore A  Tensile Strength, mPa  Elongation,percent  200 % Modulus,mPa	hr/225°C. Durometer, Shore A Durometer, Change Tensile Strength, mPa Tensile % Change Elongation, percent Elongation % change

5

15

20

25

This example illustrates the use of small amounts of methyltrichlorosilane and hexamethyldisilazane together as catalysts to promote the treatment of fume silica with methyltrimethoxysilane. This is a preferred Example 4 method of treating fume silica. A preferred method of treating fume silica is the use of dimethyldimethoxysilane as the treating agent and small amounts of methyltrichlorosilane and hexamethyldisilazane as the catalysts.

First, 125 g of fumed silica of Example 1 was fluidized in a Waring Blender as described in that example. Then 0.31 g of hexamethydisilazane was injected and mixed 3 minutes. Immediately following this, 12.5 g of methyl trimethoxysilane containing 0.25 g methyltrichlorosilane per 99.75 g methyltrimethoxysilane was added and mixed 3 additional minutes. The blender was then turned off. This is shown as run 2. The procedure was repeated in a second and third run with the level of methyltrimethoxysilane being 18.5 and 25 g, shown as run 4

The treated filler was evaluated as a reinforcing filler in the same manner described in Example 1. A comparison of these three runs is shown in Table V along with three comparative runs (1,3,5) which were not catalyzed with hexamethyldisilazane. This comparison shows the addition of 0.25 g of hexamethyldisilazane enhances the filler treatment for the same reasons stated in Example 3. In addition to the improvement in the overall properties discussed in all prior examples, we see an added benefit in the use of this filler treatment for a reinforcing filler to be used in an elastomer in a hot air vulcanization process where the compression set may be important. A comparison of the compression set data of the elastomers catalyzed with 2,4 dichlorobenzoyl peroxide (a hot air vulcanizing peroxide) and compounded using treated filler from runs 2, 4 and 6 and the comparative runs where hexamethyldisilazane was not used is shown in Table V. This data shows a significant difference between the three runs containing hexamethyldisilazane and the three runs not containing hexamethyldisilazane. The difference is seen as an improvement in the compression set where the filler from runs 2, 4 and 6 was used while the compression set gets worse with increasing amounts of treating agent containing chlorosilane in the absence of hexamethyldisilazane.

30

35

40

45

50

55

60

Run Number	* -	Table V	*E	7	, <del>,</del> 2	9
Treating Agent, $\mathrm{g/100g}$ Silica (Me $_3$ Si) $_2$ NH, $\mathrm{g/100g}$ Silica	10	10	15	15 0.25	20	20 0.25
Property: Haake Final Torque,mg Mill Softening Time,seconds Williams Plasticity Number	92	777	ω · υ	42	9	ים מו
Initial After 1 week After 1 month	587 691 706	516 569 577	455 521 551	381 455 465	356 396	320 363 363
Williams Plasticity, Recovery Initial After 1 week After 1 month	38 104 135	30 61 81	28 48 84	23 46 43	18 25 28	20 25 36
Property after Cure Durometer, Shore A Tensile Strength, mPa Elongation, percent 200 % Modulus, mPa	59 5.78 3.77	54 6.91 318 3.41	56 6.24 287 3.75	50 6.38 313 2.87	51 6.22 329 2.97	46 6.2 334 2.54
Compression Set,% 0.7 pph Lupersol 101 1.3 pph Cadox TS-50	38.5 59.2	42.6 52.9	40.4	38.9 51.2	43.6 73.1	33.6 47.4
Property after Heat Age, 70 hr/2 Durometer, Shore A Durometer Change Tensile Strength, mPa Tensile % Change Elongation, percent Elongation % change	hr/225°C. 56 -3 4.34 24.9 255 -7.9	55 1 4.59 -33.6 258 -18.9	55 -1 5 -19.8 255	54 4.9 -23.1 -27.1	58 7 4.45 -28.5 -23.4	51 5.04 -18.7 272 -18.6

In run 7, 125 g of fumed silica of Example 1 was fluidized in a Waring Blender as described in the same example. Then 2 g of hexamethyldisilazane was injected and mixed 3 minutes. Immediately following this, 12.5 g of dimethyldimethoxysilane was added and mixed 3 additional minutes. Run 8 was a repeat of run 7 with the exception being that the dimethyldimethoxysilane contained sufficient dimethyldichlorosilane to give 1850 ppm chlorine attached to silicon.

The two treated fillers were evaluated in the same manner as described in Example 1. A comparison of the data is shown in Table V, cont. Again, we see an improvement in the compression set of the elastomer vulcanized with the hot air vulcanizing (HAV) peroxide.

Table V, Cont.

. &	$\mathrm{Me}_2\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{OMe})_2$ plus dimethyldichlorosilane 10 2	2585 41	241 310 345	20 36 66	43 8.94 466 	28.9	46 3 5.71 -36 306 -34
7	$Me_2Si(OMe)_2$ .ca $10$	2372 31	213 269 290	7 30 66	42 8.78 447 2.42	30.2 62.6	70 hr/225°C. 39 -3 -3 4.66 -46.9 266 -40.5
Run Number	Treating Agent: $Me_2^S$ Treating Agent, $g/100g$ Silica $(Me_3Si)_2NH$ , $g/100$ $g$ Silica	Property: Haake Final Torque,mg Mill Softening Time,seconds	Williams Plasticity Number Initial After 1 week After 1 month	Williams Plasticity, Recovery Initial After 1 week After 1 month	Property after Cure Durometer, Shore A Tensile Strength, mPa Elongation, percent 200 % Modulus, mPa	Compression Set,% 0.7 pph Lupersol 101 1.3 pph Cadox TS-50	Property after Heat Age, 70 hr Durometer, Shore A Durometer Change Tensile Strength, mPa Tensile % Change Elongation, percent

This example illustrates the alkoxysilane treatment of fumed silica in the presence of 0.9 to 7 % moisture on Example 6

First, 125 g each of fumed silica of Example 1 was equilibrated for 12 days in an environmental chamber at the filler surface prior to treatment. 21.2, 39.7, 72 and 84 percent relative humidity. The moisture on the silica following the equilibration was determined to be 0.89, 1.85, 4,29 and 6.89 percent after 1 hour at 105 C. Then, 125 g of the first equilibrated silica was fluidized in a Waring blender as described in Example 1. Special care was taken to assure the change in the moisture on the silica was minimized during this time. The procedure used was to immediately fluidize the silica following its removal from the environmental chamber. Then, 3.2 g of hexamethyldisilazane was added and mixed for 3 minutes. This was followed by injecting 12.5 g of methyltrimethoxysilane and continuing mixing for 3 additional minutes. The mixer was then turned off, The same procedure was used for the other 3

Each treated silica was evaluated as a reinforcing filler as stated in Example 1. A comparison of the results in equilibrated silicas Table VI shows the influence of the moisture on the silica surface prior to treatment. This is seen in the lowest moisture on the silica surface corresponding with the shortest mill softening time for the base compounded with this silica. It also can be seen in the Haake final torque, plasticity and recovery of the base. These properties increase correspondingly with an increase in the moisture content on the filler surface prior to treatment. No significant difference in the cured elastomers could be seen except for the elastomer compounded with the silica which had 6.89 percent moisture on the surface. The properties of this elastomer were somewhat poorer as seen in a loss in the tensile strength.

25

20

30

35

40

45

50

55

60

	• o	0	3011 85 707	462 480	15 45 58	53 7.72 352 3.44	-1 -4.1 -46.8 -34 -34
		6.89	3025 210	389 460 485	23 168	. 50 5.56 292 3.13	50 0 4.49 -19 268 -8
5	72	4.29	3200	368 457 475	28 29 29 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20 20	50 6.61 327 3.08	50 0 5.12 -23 -14
Table VI	39.7	1.85	3126 127	350 450 462	15 64 107	51 7.64 349 3.3	52 1 5.09 -34 267 -24
	21.2	0.89	2927 82	320 401 432	18 53 79	51 7.46 335 3.44	51 0 5.24 -30 264 -21
	Environmental	Chamber % Humidity Moisture on silica prior to treatment, % property:	Haake Final Torque,mg Mill Softening Time,seconds Williams Plasticity Number	Initial After 1 week After 1 month Williams Plasticity,	Initial After 1 week After 1 month	Property after Cure  Durometer,Shore A  Tensile Strength,mPa  Elongation,percent  200% Modulus,mPa  Property after Heat Age, 70 hr/225°C.	Durometer, Shore A Durometer Change Tensile Strength, mPa Tensile % Change Elongation, percent

comparative example

This example illustrates the catalytic influence hexamethyldisilazane has on the treatment of fumed silica Example 7

First, 125 g of fumed silica of Example 1 was fluidized in a Waring Blender. Then, the amount of with dimethyldimethoxysilane. hexamethyldisilazane stated in Table VII was injected and mixed for 3 minutes. Then, 12.5 g of dimethyldimethoxysilane was injected and mixing continued for 3 more minutes. At this time, the mixer was

The treated silicas were evaluated as reinforcing fillers as described in Example 1. A comparison of the results in Table VII shows an increase in hexamethyldisilazane corresponds with an increase in filler treatment. turned off, The basis for this statement is the same as stated in Example 2. It also shows that an amount as low as 0.1 g of hexamethyldisilazane per 100 g silica significantly changes the rheology of the corresponding base as seen in a comparison of the Haake torque and plasticity of this base and the comparative run where no hexamethyldisilazane was used.

15

20

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

60

(comparative)						
Hexamethyldisilazane Level:	C	0.1	0.25	0.5	-	α
g:100 g Silica Property: Haake Final Torque,mg	3771 86	3011 45	2806	2781 60	2594 48	2372 31
Mill Softening Time,seconds Williams Plasticity Number	3			780	249	213
Initial After 1 week After 1 month	513 536 559	323 381 396	330 366 376	348 368	318 333	269 290
Williams Plasticity, Recovery Initial After 1 week	44 45 451	13 38 64	18 36 58	15 36 64	13 41 66	15 30 66
After 1 month Property after Cure Durometer,Shore A Tensile Strength,mPa Elongation,percent	59 6.66 291 3.98	53 8.12 349 3.47	50 8.78 363 3.51	50 8.08 362 3.23	47 8.69 399 2.92	42 8.78 447 2.42
Property after Heat Age,70 hr/225°C. Durometer, Shore A Durometer Change Tensile Strength, mPa Tensile % Change Elongation, percent	51 -8 4.69 -29.5 1.03	48 -5 4.21 -48.16 228 -34.67	46 -4 4.12 -53.02 -38.29	45 -5 4.4 -45.49 254 -29.83	45 -2 4.48 -48.5 248 -37.84	39 -3 4.66 -46.9 266 -40.49

This example illustrates the treatment of a precipitated silica with methyltrimethoxysilane catalyzed with Example 8

First, 125 grams of precipitated reinforcing silica was fluidized in a Waring Blender as described in Example methyltrichlorosilane and hexamethyldisilazane. 1. The precipitated silica had a surface area of about 160m<sub>2</sub>/g and a pH of 4.5. It was obtained under the designation of FK 160 from Degussa Corporation, Pigment Division, of Teterborough, N.J. Then 0.20 g of hexamethyldisilazane was injected and mixed 3 minutes. Immediately following this a mixture of 8 grams of methyltrimethoxysilane and 0.02 gram of methyltrichlorosilane was added and mixed for 3 minutes. The

The treated filler was evaluated as a reinforcing filler in the same manner as is described in Example 1. A blender was then turned off. comparative run was done in the same manner, but using a sample of the precipitated filler without any treatment. The results are shown in Table VIII. A comparison of the properties shows that the treated filler has a lower plasticity initially and after aging than the untreated filler.

5

15		Table VIII	
		Untreated Filler	Treated Filler
20	Property: Mill Softening Time,seconds	18	12
25	Williams Plasticity Number	-40	272
30	Initial After 1 week After 1 month Williams Plasticity, Recovery	310 373 401	323 353
	Initial	15	13
35	After 1 week	43	18 38
~	After 1 month Property after Cure	79	30
	Durometer,	52	48
40	Shore A Tensile	4.76	3.73
	Strength, mPa Elongation,	270	254
45	200 % Modulus, mPa Property after		2.76
5	Heat Age, 70 hr/225°C.		
,	Durometer,	48	45
	Shore A Durometer	-4	-3
:	55 Change Tensile	4.14	2.90
	Strength, mF Tensile %	Pa -13	-22
	Change 60 Elongation,	267	217
	percent Elongation <sup>0</sup> change	√o -1	-15

#### Claims

5 1. A method of rapidly treating the surface of reinforcing silica filler consisting essentially of mixing, at a temperature of from about - 10 to 130°C.,(A) 100 parts by weight of reinforcing silica filler, having a surface area of greater than 50 m<sup>2</sup>/g and having from 0.5 to 6 parts by weight of absorbed moisture, (B) from 3 to 30 parts by weight of volatile treating agent of the formula R<sub>x</sub>Si(OR')<sub>4-x</sub>, wherein R is a substituted or unsubstituted, saturated or unsaturated, alkyl or aryl radical having from 1 to 6 carbon 10 atoms, R' is an alkyl radical having from 1 to 3 carbon atoms, x is 1 or 2 and R and R' are chosen so that the vapor pressure of the alkoxysilane exceeds 0.005 atmosphere at treatment temperature, (C) from 0.001 to 5.0 parts by weight of volatile catalyst selected from the group consisting of acid A, base B and mixtures thereof, wherein acid A is a volatile acid or acid progenitor and base B is a silylamine or silazane, acid A and base B being chosen so that the vapor pressure of either acid A or base B exceeds 15 0.005 atmosphere at treatment temperature, for a period of time sufficient to allow the desired amount of treating agent to be adsorbed onto the surface of the particulate filler, to yield a filler which requires a minimum of energy to disperse rapidly into polydiorganosiloxane and which gives improved properties to cured silicone elastomers. 2. The method of claim 1 in which the volatile catalyst is admixed with the reinforcing silica filler before 20 the mixing of the volatile treating agent.

25

30

35

40

45

50

55

60

- 3. The treated silica produced by the method of claim 1.
- 4. Silicone elastomer containing treated silica filler produced by the method of claim 1.

# EUROPEAN SEARCH REPORT

EP 89 30 4766

1	DOCUMENTS CONSIDER	ED TO BE KELEVA	Relevant	CLASSIFICATION OF THE
egory	Citation of document with indication	n, where appropriate,	to claim	APPLICATION (Int. C.I.4)
X	EP-A-0 251 176 (TORAY		1-4	C 08 K 9/06 C 09 C 1/30 C 08 L 83/04
	LTD) * Claims; page 3, lines GB-A-1 420 345 (ELEKTR	OSCHMELZWERK	1-4	
X	GB-A-1 420 345 (ELEKTH KEMPTEN GmbH) * Claims; example 1; pa page 4, line 21 *	age 3, line 130 -		
				TECHNICAL FIELDS
				SEARCHED (Int. Cl.4)
				C 08 K C 08 L C 09 C
		e - 11 deime		
	The present search report has b	Date of completion of the	e search	Examiner  DE LOC ADOOS V VELAZO
-	Place of search	13-07-1989		DE LOS ARCOS Y VELAZO
104011	THE HAGUE	T shee	ry or principle unde	rlying the invention , but published on, or
FURM 1503 03.82 (170401)	X: particularly relevant if taken alone Y: particularly relevant if combined with a document of the same category A: technological background	E : earli afte D : doc	er patent documents  the filing date  ument cited in the a  ument cited for othe	andication